BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the	Third Ar	nended A	Accusation
Against:			

PAMELA BENYAS, PH.D. 4419 Van Nuys Boulevard, Suite 400 Sherman Oaks, California 91432

Psychologist License No. No. 9445

Respondent.

Case No. W245

OAH No. L-2002110714

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on ______ December 22, 2004

It is so ORDERED on _____ November 22, 2004

by _______ Under Horn, Ph.D., President
Board of Psychology
Department of Consumer Affairs

l					
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California				
2	JOHN E. DeCURE, State Bar No. 150700 Deputy Attorney General				
3	California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702				
4	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-8854				
5	Facsimile: (213) 897-9395				
6	Attorneys for Complainant				
7	BEFORE T				
8	BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
9	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA			
10	In the Matter of the Third Amended Accusation	Case No. W245			
11	Against:	OAH No. L-2002110714			
12	PAMELA BENYAS, PH.D. 4419 Van Nuys Boulevard, Suite 400	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND			
13	Sherman Oaks, California 91432	DISCIPLINARY ORDER			
14	Develorie Licenso No. 0445				
	Psychologist License No. 9445				
15	Respondent.				
16					
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	AGREED by and between the parties to the			
18	above-entitled proceedings that the following matter				
19	PARTIE				
20		plainant") is the Executive Officer of the			
21					
22	Board of Psychology ("Board"), Department of Con				
23	in his official capacity and is represented in this mat				
24	State of California, by John E. DeCure, Deputy Atto				
25	•	Ph.D. (Respondent) is represented in this			
26	proceeding by attorney Alan I. Kaplan, Esq., whose	address is 1925 Century Park East, Suite 500			
	Los Angeles, California 90067-2706.				
27	3. On or about July 18, 1986, th	e Department of Consumer Affairs issued			
28					

Psychologist License No. 9445 to Pamela Benyas, Ph.D. (Respondent). Psychologist License No. 9445 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. W245 and will expire on September 30, 2005, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Third Amended Accusation No. W245 was filed before the Board on July 29, 2004, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Third Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 29, 2004. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the original Accusation preceding the Third Amended Accusation in this matter. A copy of third Amended Accusation No. W245 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Third Amended Accusation No. W245. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Third Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. In the interests of justice, Complainant withdraws paragraphs 12 and 13 of Third Amended Accusation No. W245 and any reference thereto, as these charges were not alleged within the statutory time-period allowable under the law.

8

9

5

10

11

1213

14

1516

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every remaining charge and allegation in the Third Amended Accusation No. W245.
- 10. Respondent agrees that her Psychologist License No. 9445 is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, and any other proceedings in which the Board of Psychology or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

12. Respondent Pamela Benyas, Ph.D. has been practicing psychology in California since 1986 with no prior disciplinary action. She is admitting full responsibility to the charges in the Third Amended Accusation. She has taken significant steps to address the professional and personal issues raised by the allegations in this matter. These steps include: retaining Robert DeMayo, Ph.D., a clinical psychologist, for peer supervision of her private practice in psychotherapy; receiving individual psychotherapy from Cheri Adrian, Ph.D., a clinical psychologist; and receiving medical treatment for a mood disorder due to multiple sclerosis from Daniel A. Plotkin, M.D. In the interest of resolving this matter, Respondent directed Dr. DeMayo and Dr. Adrian to provide the Board with detailed written professional assessments relevant to the charges, which they did. Both of these assessments noted that frank admissions of responsibility were made by Respondent for the charges alleged. In his written assessment, Dr. DeMayo opined that while Respondent admittedly committed serious errors which formed the basis for the allegations in this matter, the progress she has made with him in improving her practice methods is such that in his opinion, she does not now represent a risk to the public. In her written assessment, Dr. Adrian recommended continued treatment for Respondent and opined that if Respondent continues to receive the medical, therapeutic, and practice-supervisory support she is currently receiving, she will not pose any risk to patients. Dr.

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Plotkin also provided a written statement furnishing a diagnosis and treatment summary for Respondent's mood disorder due to multiple sclerosis. Dr. Plotkin's report further included a list of current medications he is prescribing for Respondent's condition. His prognosis for Respondent was "excellent."

CONTINGENCY

- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Psychologist License No. No. 9445 issued to Respondent Pamela Benyas, Ph.D. (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. SUSPENSION PENDING PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION DETERMINING FITNESS TO PRACTICE Beginning on the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall be suspended from the practice of psychology and remain suspended until the Board receives results from a psychological evaluation determining that she is mentally fit to practice independently and safely. (Pursuant to standard Board procedure, Respondent shall receive notice of the effective date of the decision approximately thirty (30) days prior to the effective date.) Such determination of fitness to practice shall be made as follows.

Within 90 days of the effective date of this Decision and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Board or its designee, respondent shall undergo a psychological evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by a Board-appointed California-licensed psychologist. (Respondent shall be permitted to provide input to Board staff regarding the evaluator, although the Board shall make the final determination as to who it shall appoint as evaluator.) Respondent shall sign a release which authorizes the evaluator to furnish

the Board a current DSM IV diagnosis and a written report regarding the respondent's judgment and/or ability to function independently as a psychologist with safety to the public, and whatever other information the Board deems relevant to the case. Respondent shall also sign releases allowing the Board evaluator to confer with Respondent's current therapist, Dr. Adrian, and Respondent's current practice monitor, Dr. DeMayo. Respondent shall also sign a medical release which authorizes the evaluator to consider Respondent's treatment for mood disorder due to multiple sclerosis. The completed evaluation is the sole property of the Board. The evaluation should not be disclosed to anyone not authorized by the Board or by court order.

If the Board concludes from the results of the evaluation that Respondent is not mentally fit to practice independently and safely, Respondent shall continue to be suspended. In this event, Respondent shall not resume practice until a Board-appointed evaluator determines, in a repeat evaluation conducted within a reasonable time-period, that Respondent is mentally fit to practice independently and safely, as evidenced by a written notice to Respondent from the Board or its designee. If Respondent remains suspended following her initial psychological evaluation, probation will be tolled upon the Board's receipt of that evaluation and will not commence again until the suspension is concluded.

If ongoing psychotherapy is recommended in the psychological evaluation, the Board will notify Respondent in writing to submit to such therapy and to select a psychotherapist for approval by the Board or its designee within 30 days of such notification. The therapist shall 1) be a California-licensed psychologist with a clear and current license; 2) have no previous business, professional, personal or other relationship with Respondent; and 3) not be the same person as respondent's practice monitor. However, the Board may consider approval of Dr. Adrian as Respondent's therapist, if Respondent makes such a proposal. Frequency of psychotherapy shall be determined upon recommendation of the treating psychotherapist with approval by the Board or its designee; however, psychotherapy shall, at a minimum, consist of one one-hour session per week, for a minimum of one (1) year. Respondent shall continue psychotherapy until released by the approved psychologist and approved by the Board or its designee. The Board or its designee may order a re-evaluation upon receipt of the therapist's

recommendation.

Respondent shall execute a release authorizing the therapist to provide to the Board any information the Board or its designee deems appropriate, including quarterly reports of Respondent's therapeutic progress. Respondent shall furnish a copy of this Decision to the therapist. If the therapist determines that Respondent cannot continue to independently render psychological services with safety to the public, he/she shall notify the Board immediately.

Respondent shall pay all costs associated with the psychological evaluation and ongoing psychotherapy. Failure to pay costs will be considered a violation of the probation order.

Failure by Respondent to receive a psychological evaluation determining that she is mentally fit to practice independently and safely pursuant to this condition within five (5) years of the effective date of this decision will be considered a violation of the probation order.

2. PSYCHOTHERAPY Within 90 days of the effective date of this Decision, a therapist shall be selected by the respondent for approval by the Board. The therapist shall 1) be a California-licensed psychologist with a clear and current license; 2) have no previous business, professional, personal, or other relationship with respondent; and 3) not be the same person as respondent's monitor. However, the Board may consider the approval of Dr. Adrian as Respondent's therapist, if Respondent makes such a proposal. Respondent shall furnish a copy of this Decision to the therapist. Psychotherapy shall, at a minimum, consist of one hour per week over a period of 52 weeks after which it may continue or terminate upon the written recommendation of the therapist with approval by the Board or its designee. (If psychotherapy is required pursuant to Term and Condition No. 1 of this Order, Respondent's compliance with that required psychotherapy shall satisfy the herein condition as well.) The Board or its designee may order a re-evaluation upon receipt of the therapist's recommendation.

Respondent shall execute a release authorizing the therapist to provide to the Board or its designee any information the Board deems appropriate, including quarterly reports of respondent's therapeutic progress. It shall be respondent's responsibility to assure that the required quarterly reports are filed by the therapist in a timely manner. If the therapist notifies

1 the Board that the therapist believes the respondent cannot continue to safely render 2 psychological services, respondent shall immediately cease accepting new patients and, in 3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

accordance with professional standards, shall appropriately refer/terminate existing patients within 30 days and shall not resume practice until a Board-appointed evaluator determines that respondent is again safe to practice. During this period of non-practice, probation shall be tolled and will not commence again until the period of non-practice is completed.

If, prior to the termination of probation, respondent is found not to be mentally fit to resume the practice of psychology without restrictions, the Board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the respondent's license and the period of probation shall be extended until the Board or its designee determines that the respondent is mentally fit to resume the practice of psychology without restrictions.

3. PRACTICE MONITOR Within 90 days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a psychologist who has agreed to serve as a practice monitor/billing monitor. The monitor shall 1) be a California-licensed psychologist with a clear and current license; 2) have no prior business, professional, personal or other relationship with Respondent; and 3) not be the same person as Respondent's therapist. However, the Board may consider approval of Dr. DeMayo as Respondent's monitor, if Respondent makes such a proposal. The monitor's education and experience shall be in the same field of practice as that of Respondent.

Once approved, the monitor shall submit to the Board or its designee a plan by which Respondent's practice shall be monitored. Monitoring shall consist of a least one hour per week of individual face to face meetings and shall continue during the entire probationary period. Respondent shall provide the monitor with a copy of this Decision and access to Respondent's fiscal and/or patient records. Respondent shall obtain any necessary patient releases to enable the monitor to review records and to make direct contact with patients. Respondent shall execute a release authorizing the monitor to divulge any information that the Board may request. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to assure that the monitor submits written reports to the Board or its designee on a quarterly basis verifying that monitoring has taken place and providing an

evaluation of Respondent's performance.

Respondent shall notify all current and potential patients of any term or condition of probation which will affect their therapy or the confidentiality of their records (such as this condition which requires a practice monitor/billing monitor). Such notifications shall be signed by each patient prior to continuing or commencing treatment.

If the monitor quits or is otherwise no longer available, Respondent shall obtain approval from the Board for a new monitor within 30 days. If no new monitor is approved within 30 days, Respondent shall not practice until a new monitor has been approved by the Board or its designee. During this period of non-practice, probation will be tolled and will not commence again until the period of non-practice is completed. Respondent shall pay all costs associated with this monitoring requirement. Failure to pay these costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

- 4. NOTIFICATION TO EMPLOYER Respondent shall provide each of her employers, where Respondent is providing psychological services, a copy of this Decision and the Accusation or Statement of Issues before commencing employment. Notification to Respondent's current employer shall occur no later than the effective date of the Decision. Respondent shall submit, upon request by the Board or its designee, satisfactory evidence of compliance with this term of probation.
- 5. <u>COURSEWORK</u> Respondent shall take and successfully complete not less than 12 hours each year of probation in the following area(s): laws, ethics, and/or boundaries. Coursework must be pre-approved by the Board or its designee. All coursework shall be taken at the graduate level at an accredited educational institution or by an approved continuing education provider. Classroom attendance is specifically required; correspondence or home study coursework shall not count toward meeting this requirement. The coursework must be in addition to any continuing education courses that may be required for license renewal.

Within 90 days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval a plan for meeting the educational requirements. All costs of the coursework shall be paid by the respondent.

8

13

15

20

27

28

- 6. ETHICS COURSE Within 90 days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval a course in laws and ethics as they relate to the practice of psychology. Said course must be successfully completed at an accredited educational institution or through a provider approved by the Board's accreditation agency for continuing education credit. Said course must be taken and completed within one year from the effective date of this Decision. The cost associated with the law and ethics course shall be paid by Respondent.
- 7. INVESTIGATION/ENFORCEMENT COST RECOVERY Respondent shall pay the Board its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$6,830.35. Such payment for costs of investigation and enforcement shall be due within the first two (2) years of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board of Psychology and are to be paid regardless of whether the probation is tolled. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to repay investigation and enforcement costs.

PROBATION COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated with 8. probation monitoring each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board of Psychology at the end of each fiscal year (June 30). Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to repay probation monitoring costs.

- OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local 9. laws and all regulations governing the practice of psychology in California including the ethical guidelines of the American Psychological Association. A full and detailed account of any and all violations of law shall be reported by Respondent to the Board or its designee in writing within seventy-two (72) hours of occurrence.
- OUARTERLY REPORTS Respondent shall submit quarterly 10. declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board or its designee, stating

whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Quarterly reports attesting to non-practice status are to be submitted if probation is tolled.

- 11. PROBATION COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation program and shall, upon reasonable notice, report to the Board of Psychology probation monitor. Respondent shall contact the assigned probation monitor regarding any questions specific to the probation order. Respondent shall not have any unsolicited or unapproved contact with 1) complainants associated with the case; 2) Board members or members of its staff; or 3) persons serving the Board as expert evaluators.
- 12. <u>INTERVIEW WITH BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u> Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- 13. <u>CHANGES OF EMPLOYMENT</u> Respondent shall notify the Board in writing, through the assigned probation monitor, of any and all changes of employment, location, and address within 30 days of such change.
- STATE NON-PRACTICE In the event Respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State, or for any reason should Respondent stop practicing psychology in California, Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within ten days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2902 and 2903 of the Business and Professions Code. During periods of non-practice, the probationary period is tolled and Respondent's license or registration shall be placed on inactive status. The probationary period will not commence again until Respondent activates his or her license and resumes practicing psychology in the state of California. However, the Board may require Respondent to complete certain terms of probation that are not associated with active practice and Respondent will be required to pay cost recovery and restitution as ordered.
 - 15. <u>EMPLOYMENT AND SUPERVISION OF TRAINEES</u> Respondent

shall not employ or supervise or apply to employ or supervise psychological assistants, interns or trainees during the course of this probation. Any such supervisorial relationship in existence on the effective date of this probation shall be terminated by Respondent and/or the Board.

- 16. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board may, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final. No Petition for Modification or Termination of Probation shall be considered while there is an Accusation or Petition to Revoke Probation pending against respondent.
- 17. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license shall be fully restored.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Alan I. Kaplan, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Psychologist License No. 9445. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California. DATED: 10-10-04 Benjas Ph.S. Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Pamela Benyas, Ph.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 16-10-64

ALAN I. KAPLAN, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

DOJ Matter ID: LA2002AD2084

Benyas.stip.wpd

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Psychology, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

DATED: 15tober 20, 2004.

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

JOHN E. DeCURE Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A Third Amended Accusation No. W245

1 2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California JOHN E. DeCURE, State Bar No. 150700	FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY SACRAMENTO JULY 20 04				
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	BY M. Lackmann ANALYST				
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-8854					
5	Facsimile: (213) 897-9395					
6	Attorneys for Complainant					
7	DEEQDE 3	PYTE				
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY DEPARTMENT OF CONSIDER A FEATING					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10	In the Metter of the Third Amended Acquestion	Case No. W245				
11	In the Matter of the Third Amended Accusation Against:	Case No. "-19				
12	PAMELA FRAN BENYAS, PH.D.	THIRD AMENDED ACCUSATION				
13	4419 Van Nuys Boulevard, Suite 400 Sherman Oaks, CA 91423	ACCUSATION				
14	Psychologist License No. PSY 9445	•				
15	Respondent.	·				
16	Complainant alleges:					
17	PARTIES					
18		lainant") brings this Third Amended				
19	Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Exec					
20	Department of Consumer Affairs.					
21		e Board of Psychology issued Psychologist				
22	License No. PSY 9445 to Pamela Fran Benyas, Ph.I	•				
23	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on					
24	September 30, 2005, unless renewed.					
25	JURISDICI	TION .				
26		ion is brought before the Board of				
27	Psychology ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs under the authority of the below					
28		•				

mentioned statutes and regulations.1

4. Section 2960 of the Code states:

"The board may refuse to issue any registration or license, or may issue a registration or license with terms and conditions, or may suspend or revoke the registration or license of any registrant or licensee if the applicant, registrant, or licensee has been guilty of unprofessional conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not be limited to:

- "(a) Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a psychologist or psychological assistant.
- "(i) Violating any rule of professional conduct promulgated by the board and set forth in regulations duly adopted under this chapter.
 - "(j) Being grossly negligent in the practice of his or her profession.
- "(k) Violating any of the provisions of this chapter or regulations duly adopted thereunder.

"(n) The commission of any dishonest, corrupt, or fraudulent act.

• • • •

- "(r) Repeated acts of negligence."
- 5. Section 490 of the Code states:

"A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to

^{1.} All statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.

take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

6. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1394, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license or registration pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license or registration under the Psychology Licensing Law (Chapter 6.6 of Division 2 of the Code), if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license or registration to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or registration or in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of that law.
 - "(b) Conviction of a crime involving fiscal dishonesty."

PENAL CODE STATUTES

- 7. California Penal Code section 484 provides the definition of theft, and states, in pertinent part, that:
- "(a) Every person who shall feloniously steal, take, carry, lead or drive away the personal property of another . . . is guilty of theft."
- 8. Penal Code section 487 provides, in pertinent part, that grand theft is committed:
- "(a) When the money, labor, or real or personal property taken is of a value exceeding four hundred dollars (\$400)..."

COST RECOVERY

- 9. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
 - 10. Section 2964.6 of the Code states:

"An administrative disciplinary decision that imposes terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee who is being placed on probation pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 2960, subdivision (a), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1394 in that she was convicted of two crimes which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a psychologist. The circumstances are as follows:
- 12. On or about April 30, 1997, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Pamela Fran Benyas* in Los Angeles County Superior Court case number SA028464, Respondent was convicted by plea of nolo contendere of grand theft, a misdemeanor, in violation of Penal Code section 487, subdivision (a). The circumstances are as follows:
- 13. Nieman Marcus loss prevention officers recovered ten necklaces, seven pairs of earrings, one key chain, one ring, and one bracelet from Respondent. These items were concealed in Respondent's purse and in a Nieman Marcus shopping bag. Respondent did not pay for these items, and the total value of all the items was \$1,791.00.
- 14. On or about December 20, 1999, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People* of the State of California v. Pamela Fran Benyas in Los Angeles County Municipal Court case number 9PN06052, Respondent was convicted by plea of nolo contendere of grand theft, a misdemeanor, in violation of Penal Code section 487, subdivision (a). The circumstances are as follows:

15. Bloomingdale's loss prevention officers recovered three rings, four bracelets, three necklaces, one choker, one pendant necklace, and a bottle of men's cologne from Respondent. The items were concealed in a shopping bag. Respondent did not pay for these items, and the total value of the items was \$405.00.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2960, subdivision (j), of the Code in that her acts and omissions in the care and treatment of a patient constituted gross negligence. The circumstances are as follows:
- 17. On or about November 1, 2000, R.R., then 11 years of age, attended her first therapy session with Respondent for treatment of obsessive compulsive disorder ("OCD"). At the conclusion of this first session, R.R.'s parents, E.R. and D.R., and Respondent agreed that the payments would be made at the end of each session.
- 18. At the conclusion of the November 1, 2000, session, Respondent received check number 0257 in the amount of \$150. Check number 0257 was dated November 1, 2000.
- 19. Respondent's progress notes for the November 1, 2000, session were dated November 1, 1999.
- 20. On or about November 8, 2000, R.R. attended her second therapy session with Respondent. At that session, R.R. gave Respondent a picture which she had drawn for her at an earlier time.
- 21. At the conclusion of the November 8, 2000, session, Respondent received check number 0261 in the amount of \$125. Check number 0261 was dated November 8, 2000.
- 22. Respondent's progress notes for the November 8, 2000, session were dated November 8, 1999.
- 23. On or about November 15, 2000, R.R. attended her third therapy session with Respondent. Respondent had a pile of beanie babies inside her office, and Respondent told R.R. that they were for sale. At the conclusion of either this or the previous session, R.R. emerged from Respondent's office with two beanie babies and went to the lobby where E.R.,

R.R.'s father, waited for her. E.R. believed that Respondent had incorporated these toys into R.R.'s therapy. Respondent informed E.R. that R.R. liked the beanie babies and that they were priced at \$10 each. Believing the beanie babies were necessary for R.R.'s therapy, E.R. felt obligated to purchase a beanie baby and did so for \$10.

- 24. At the conclusion of the November 15, 2000, session, Respondent was given check number 0264 in the amount of \$125. Check number 0264 was dated November 15, 2000.
- 25. Respondent's progress notes for the November 15, 2000, session were dated November 15, 1999.
 - 26. On or about November 29, 2000, R.R. attended her fourth therapy session.
- 27. At the conclusion of the November 29, 2000 session, Respondent received check number 0275 in the amount of \$125. Check number 0275 was dated November 29, 2000.
- 28. Respondent's progress notes for the November 29, 2000 session were dated November 29, 1999.
- 29. Also included in Respondent's progress notes for the November 29, 2000 session was the following entry: "... Little exchange with dad[.] Told him she seemed to be getting better but sad. Sept. 11? Evasive. Said thank you and fled[.]"
- D.R., R.R.'s mother, met with Respondent to discuss R.R.'s progress. When D.R. entered Respondent's office, she noticed that approximately five to ten bracelets and necklaces were spread out on the floor. D.R. did not say anything about the jewelry. Respondent discussed R.R.'s therapy with D.R. and explained her interpretations of R.R.'s drawings. At the conclusion of the consultation, Respondent told D.R. that she made jewelry as a hobby, showed her the jewelry that was located on the floor, and asked her whether she needed to purchase any Christmas presents. D.R. stated that she did not wish to make a purchase.
- 31. After D.R. rejected Respondent's offer to make jewelry, Respondent returned the picture that R.R. had given her at the November 8, 2000, session. The picture was now framed, and Respondent told D.R. that she also made frames as a hobby and was willing to

11, 2001, terrorist attacks on the November 29, 2000, progress notes.

28

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Repeated Acts of Negligence)
3	40. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2960,
4	subdivision (r), of the Code in that she was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of
5	patient R.R. The circumstances are as follows:
6	41. The facts and allegations in paragraphs 17 through 40, inclusive, are
7	incorporated here by reference.
8	42. Respondent was negligent in her care and treatment of patient R.R. based
9	on the following acts and omissions:
10	A. Respondent's repeated attempts to sell beanie babies, jewelry, and
11	framing services created a harmful dual relationship;
12	B. Respondent failed to accurately document her services to R.R.
13	when she failed to write the correct treatment dates on R.R.'s progress notes, created progress
14	notes for a session which never occurred, and included an inaccurate reference to the September
15	11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the November 29, 2000, progress notes.
16	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Dishonest or Fraudulent Acts)
18	43. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2960,
19	subdivision (n) of the Code in that she has engaged in dishonest, corrupt, or fraudulent acts. The
20	circumstances are as follows:
21	44. The facts and allegations in paragraphs 12 through 15 and 17 through 40,
22	inclusive, are incorporated here by reference.
23	45. On or about February 14, 2002, and March 4, 2002, the Board, as part of
24	its investigation of the complaint filed on R.R.'s behalf, requested that Respondent provide
25	R.R.'s psychological records. On or about April 5, 2002, the Board received R.R.'s psychological
26	records from Respondent, which Respondent had certified as a true copy of R.R.'s records.
27	46. Included in the records Respondent provided for R.R. was a detailed
28	Mental Status Evaluation which Respondent signed and dated as occurring on November 1,

1999.² However, the form on which Respondent recorded the results of this evaluation was not actually generated until May 24, 2001, many months after R.R.'s actual evaluation occurred.

- 47. On or about January 17, 2003, Respondent provided the Board with a set of psychotherapy notes for R.R. different from the notes she provided on or about April 5, 2002.
- A8. On or about July 21, 2001, Respondent submitted to the Board a License Renewal Application for her psychologist license. The effect of such applications is to renew the psychologist license for a period of two (2) years. As of July 21, 2001, Respondent's Psychologist License No. PSY 9445 status was active, with a pending expiration date of September 30, 2001. Respondent had last sought to renew her psychologist license using a near-identical License Renewal Application on or about September 21, 1999.
- 49. A portion of the License Renewal Application Respondent submitted on or about July 21, 2001 expressly requires that the applicant check "Yes" or "No" as to whether, since the applicant last renewed his or her license, the applicant has been convicted of or pled nolo contendre to any violation of any state law, including misdemeanor and felony penal code convictions. Respondent checked "No" as to whether she had been convicted since her last license renewal, despite the fact that she was convicted of grand theft on or about December 20, 1999, as set forth in paragraph 14 above.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(General Unprofessional Conduct)

- 50. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section ²⁹⁶⁰ of the Code in that she has engaged in unprofessional conduct. The circumstances are as follows:
- 51. The facts and allegations in paragraphs 11 through 49, inclusive, are incorporated here by reference.

^{2.} Respondent's first therapy session with R.R. occurred on November 1, 2000, which Respondent incorrectly noted as November 1, 1999, in the patient chart.

(a) Pamela Fran Benyas, Ph.D.; (b) DATED: July 29, 2004. 03598160-LA2002AD2084

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Psychology issue a decision:

- (a) Revoking or suspending Psychologist License No. PSY 9445, issued to Fran Benyas, Ph.D.;
- (b) Ordering Pamela Fran Benyas, Ph.D. to pay the Board of Psychology the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;
 - (c) Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

THOMAS S. O'CONNOR

Executive Officer Board of Psychology

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

In the Matter of the Third Amended Accusation Filed Against: <u>Pamela Benyas</u>, <u>Ph.D.</u>

No: <u>W245</u>

I, the undersigned, declare that I am over 18 years of age and not a party to the within cause; my business address is 1422 Howe Avenue, Ste. 22, Sacramento, California 95825. I served a true copy of the attached:

DECISION AND ORDER

by mail on each of the following, by placing same in an envelope (or envelopes) addressed (respectively) as follows:

NAME AND ADDRESS

CERT NO.

Pamela Benyas, Ph.D. 4419 Van Nuys Blvd., Ste. 400 Sherman Oaks, CA 91432 7002 0860 0004 1219 6057

Alan I. Kaplan, Esq. 1925 Century Park East, Ste. 500 Los Angeles, CA 90067-2706

John DeCure Deputy Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Ste. 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013

Each said envelope was then, on November 22, 2004 sealed and deposited in the United States mail at Sacramento, California, the county in which I am employed, as certified mail, with the postage thereon fully prepaid, and return receipt requested.

Executed on November 22, 2004 at Sacramento, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Kathi Burns DECLARANT